



Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions Collaborative General Meeting Summary for May 16, 2014 9:30 a.m.-12:30 p.m.

FOLLOW-UP ITEMS:

1. FS to follow-up with Butch Blazer invitation. (October)
2. FS to work with Chair Albrecht on participants for the Horst Greczmiel field trip.
3. Members to work with Maria Benech on date for webinar on EIS. The date will be sent to Ms. Carolyn Lott for distribution.
4. Ms. Clare Long (FS) to provide greater detail regarding FS needs for work to be done and send to Ms. Lott for distribution.
5. Schedule Ms. Shelly Crook and Ms. Carol Ewell (FS) for an hour presentation later in the year when the full report on lessons learned regarding treated landscape vs untreated landscape is available.
6. Schedule Mr. Meyer (YNP) for a longer, more detailed presentation later in the year and include more information on research projects.
7. Deputy Forest Supervisor Scott Tangenberg to clarify whether there is non-range fencing that needs to be replaced.
8. Mr. Marty Gmelin to coordinate with Mr. Nathan Graveline on NEPA and EIS documents as they relate to the WCB proposal and projects.
9. Mr. Rob Griffith to coordinate with Mr. Graveline regarding possible CE determinations as they relate to the WCB proposal and projects.
10. Chair Albrecht and VC Buckley to work with FO John Amodio to consider how to provide balance in the proposed "common ground" workgroup.
11. Mr. Tangenberg to coordinate with VC Buckley to determine whether the FS or YSS will formally apply for Reynolds-TwoMile meadow restoration funding and the specific amount of the request.
12. Mr. Tangenberg to communicate to VC Buckley and SNC as to whether or not a springs' assessment will be followed up by some level of on-the-ground springs restoration versus a baseline inventory.

AGENDIZE FOR STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING:

1. Discussion regarding website development and request for bids
2. Update on YSS support funding opportunities
3. Schedule for Tuolumne River Trust (TRT) community model research presentation
4. Targeted messaging points for field trip with Horst Greczmiel
5. FO Amodio to present a brief summary of what he envisions as topics for consideration by the "common ground" workgroup and what the workgroup hopes to accomplish
6. Chair Albrecht and VC Buckley to present ideas for YSS general membership field trip to include ideas from Mr. Brink
7. Update on project funding opportunities

MEETING GOALS:

1. To consider recommendations from the steering committee regarding process moving forward.
-

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

2. To hear reports from USFS and YNP.

SUMMARY:

Welcome and Introductions:

Chair Mike Albrecht welcomed the group and shared how the members are the heart of YSS. He asked for self-introductions.

Acceptance of February General Meeting Summary:

No changes were requested.

Agreement: The members approved the meeting summary as mailed.

Review Finances-Facilitation Budget:

NFF Report – Finance Officer John Amodio shared that the National Forest Foundation (NFF) grant cannot be increased by 10%. What is allowable is to move up to 10% of the funds from one task to another. He has submitted the requested task changes and is waiting for confirmation. As an update, the only funds left for Ms. Lott's support after today's meeting are for the facilitation training development and meeting presentation.

RAC Report – Mr. Chris Trott reported Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) funds are funds directed to the county from the Rural School Act. Tuolumne County has elected to put some of these funds under the RAC for awarding to local projects. A multi-disciplinary team reviews the applications. This cycle there is approximately \$99,000. Fourteen requests have been submitted for a total of \$200,000. Mr. Trott is requesting, on behalf of YSS, \$8,163 for support for six YSS meetings. He is assuming they will want to award less than that amount.

USFS Report – Deputy Forest Supervisor Scott Tangenberg said the regional forester has committed to continuing support for YSS. The USFS is prepared to match the RAC funds at more than two for one. He said he will continue to communicate with USFS that funds are necessary for YSS to succeed and afford excellent support.

A question was raised regarding a dollar amount coming from the USFS of \$20,000. Mr. Tangenberg said the funds will be coming to YSS with the RAC as the vehicle. So, it is important that the RAC request is granted to utilize it as the vehicle.

Ms. Ann Denton said the RAC will hear presentations. They will make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors for their approval. Then awardees will be required to fill out the "long form." The money will be available as of October 1, 2014.

It was noted having YSS members attend the meeting will be helpful. It should also be underscored that these funds will enable ongoing YSS activities which include obtaining significant funding for projects thereby leveraging millions of dollars.

The meeting is Monday, May 19th, at the South Shephard Fire Station in Sonora, and it begins at 9:00 a.m.

A comment was made that it would also be good to note the local match of time by YSS members. At a minimum, that would be all the hours members have spent at meetings multiplied by a rate of \$75-\$85.

Update on Butch Blazer's Visit – It was noted this is still pending and his schedule may not allow him to visit until October, but a visit is not confirmed.

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

USFS Reports:

Rim Fire Update – Forest Supervisor Susan Skalski updated the group on Rim Fire activities. She said she signed the decision notice for the hazard tree Environmental Assessment (EA). There is still a closure in place for 200 miles of roads. Two timber sales closed last week and SPI was the successful bidder. The FS is working to get all contracts done by October 15th to send the message that they are working hard to get key roads back open.

Supervisor Skalski said the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is moving along and the FS is still pushing to meet the August date. It was posted on the website last week and released today. (Members were given access to written copies and CDs.) The thirty day public comment period is an accelerated schedule. She also noted employees have been pushed very hard and need some time off.

Supervisor Skalski shared the trip she, Mr. Tangenberg, and Mr. Rob Griffith took to DC. She said they were greeted with a tremendous amount of interest and enthusiasm. They spent considerable time with the top administration of the agency and also briefed USDA. She reported they were afforded considerable time with all of the administrative staff. She added the YSS hazard tree litigation position letter was a big topic of conversation.

They also met with the head of the Council on Environmental Quality out of the White House, Mr. Horst Greczmiel. This is the department that approved the expedited process for the EA and EIS. He has scheduled a visit on June 16th and wishes to meet with YSS members. Senator Feinstein's office and Congressman McClintock's offices also wish to provide recovery assistance but need bi-partisan support.

Supervisor Skalski said the two main issues she brought forward are assistance for transportation of timber as when the salvage operation gets underway the market will be flooded. There is so much to sell and facilities within a reasonable drive will not be able to handle it all. Related to this, she thanked Supervisor Randy Hanvelt for putting together a meeting of industry folks to talk through what kind of assistance is needed. She noted the landscape will never be restored if the timber cannot be removed. Supervisor Skalski noted someone could still file litigation against the EA. She went on to say there is a good chance someone will file litigation against the EIS.

In regards to the visit from Mr. Greczmiel, he wants to engage with YSS members during a field trip mid-morning. She believes there will be about four hours for this. She encouraged YSS to have targeted messages regarding what assistance is needed and they should also thank him for his support. He also wants to meet with potential litigants.

Posting of Rim Fire Timeline – Ms. Pam Baltimore circulated copies of the schedule of FS activities regarding the Rim Fire that YSS had requested to be posted on the website. She also noted tourist information will be on the homepage. She announced there will be an open house regarding the EIS on Thursday, May 22nd from 2:00p.m.-5:00p.m. Ms. Baltimore asked when it would be best to hold the same meeting through a webinar. FO Amodio said the webinar is key for informing those who wish to be informed about the EIS so as to better respond with comments.

Agreement: Members agreed to wait until Ms. Maria Benech returns to work on Tuesday to solidify a date the following week for the webinar. Ms. Lott will be sent the date for distribution.

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

Partnership/Volunteer Coordinator – Ms. Baltimore introduce Ms. Clare Long who has taken on the responsibility of helping to coordinate partnerships for the Rim Fire recovery efforts. Ms. Long distributed a list of projects/purchases the FS needs financial assistance with. She said the FS has been working with the California Conservation Corp (CCC) and others to help take down trees and open areas to the public. The FS is also working with the Student Conservation Crew (SCA) to help with range fence replacement. The FS has made a connection with the NFF and there is conversation about holding an event in San Francisco. She is also working with Ms. Reba Fuller from the Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians to bring in tribal youth to do brushing, etc. and also to provide native seeds for the reforestation efforts. She is also working with the Department of Corrections, Sonora, as they have reached out regarding utilizing inmates to help with work. Additionally, she is working with FS retirees and has reached out to the National Park Service (NPS). The FS and NPS are working together on Great Gray Owl efforts. Additional work is being done with service clubs through a competition to replace directional signage and restroom rebuilding. Finally, the Chicago Botanical Garden Society is visiting to help with determination regarding decimated botanical species. Ms. Long offered to provide greater detail regarding FS needs.

Lessons Learned Regarding Treated vs Untreated Areas within Rim Fire Burn – Ms. Shelly Crook and Ms. Carol Ewell provided a presentation. They shared that one of the requirements of the FS is to provide Fuel Treatment Effectiveness Monitoring (FTEM). A map of fire history over the last one hundred years was shared. Past history has shown that a burn area is susceptible to another burn within ten years. The majority of the Rim Fire burn was timber with brush and grass in canyon bottoms.

Next they showed fire regimes based on historical conditions. However, they noted historical conditions are not what necessarily exist as actual on-the-ground conditions. If it were historical conditions, there would have been a fire every approximately twenty-five years or so and without severe intensity. The Rim Fire occurred during peak fire conditions. Looking at the weather histogram it was noted elements of the weather contributed, as well. The most extreme fire growth happened within a two-week period.

An aerial photograph of the fire on August 22nd was shown. The plumes were so great they caused changes in weather such as lightning, hail, and rain. In some areas the winds created by the fire blew all the ash away. Other contributing factors were atmospheric instability coupled with availability of fuel. There was also a lack of fire activity in recorded history in some of the areas of the fire.

Next, vegetation burn severity was displayed. Thirty-five percent of the fire caused high vegetation severity. Only twenty-two percent of the high vegetation severity occurred outside the two-day rapid spread, severe intensity window. It was noted all the information being presented will be available later in the year within a report.

The focus areas for their study include where there was fuel treatment by prescribed fire, mechanical treatment, combination fire and mechanical treatment, and wildland fire. The treated areas studied had twenty percent high severity implications vs the thirty-eight percent found within untreated areas.

In the Bear Mountain area, there was an eighty-one percent favorable result in fire behavior on the 1,648 acres of treated area. In the Peach Growers area, there was a ninety-six percent favorable result in fire behavior over 780 acres as compared to areas outside this unit. In the Kibbie Wildfire area, where there was a managed wildfire ten years ago, there was a seventy-

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

three percent positive change in fire behavior. This area helped hold the Rim Fire line. In the North Granite area over 3,300 acres, there was a seventy-five percent positive change in fire behavior.

In describing fuel treatment design and objectives, there have been a wide range of projects. Some were designed specifically to modify fire behavior and were more effective as they addressed all fuel layers from surface to canopy. Some of the treatment had a more silvicultural purpose (managing the growth of the forest) and did not help as significantly with fire behavior. Also, small treatment areas did not help as much as larger treatment areas. Areas of treatment less than ten years old helped more than treatment ten years old or more. It was noted that extreme fire intensity can overwhelm any treatment.

Finally, they said currently only 4,000-8,000 acres are funded for treatment per year. The treatment costs between \$250 and \$2,500 per acre. If one looks at the ecological needs for treatment, between five and ten percent of the landscape needs to be treated on an annual basis which account for between 50,000-100,000 acres per year. Maintenance of treated areas needs to happen within the ten year window forever.

A member noted until the FS deals with the archaic models used for treatment there will never be an ability to treat the forest sufficiently.

The presenters said figures on losses are preliminary as there will still be die off attributed to the fire for up to five years and the drought will exacerbate the losses.

Another member observed this is the type of education that needs to be broadly disseminated and factored into Forest Plans throughout Region Five.

Yosemite National Park Update on Rim Fire Recovery:

Mr. Joe Meyer provided a presentation entitled, "Looking Back at the Rim Fire." He started with information regarding how fuel treatments were used. He said the park caught the Rim Fire where it encountered areas where fuels have been treated as early as 1997. Most of the treatment has been managed lightning strikes. He said the park does not have the ability to manage in mixed conifer areas. The area called PW3 was a prescribed burn in 2000 and this treatment helped decrease the intensity of the burn. He continued saying around Hodgson Meadow, the park had treated the forest and there was almost no damage from the fire. He then showed a map depicting areas around Hetch-Hetchy, Lake Eleanor, and Cherry Lake and said the fire did not enter the Frog Burn area (2005) and the park did not have to take any action there.

In regards to severity, Mr. Meyer showed a vegetation severity map. He said most of the high severity burn occurred on the two days of fast fire movement. Of the burn area, by percentage veg severity occurred at the following rates: undetected=15%, low severity=24%, moderate severity=28% and high severity=33%. Pine and fir suffered a 72% high severity burn compared to meadows at a 30% severity burn.

|

In regards to how much of the park burned, Mr. Meyer said 11% of the pine/fir areas burned, 16% of the chaparral burned, and 28% of the deciduous burned. It was noted there was more high-severity area of burn in the Ackerson Fire area as the regrowth was more chaparral than trees. A member noted south of Lake Eleanor there is almost no surviving forest for fifteen square miles.

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

Mr. Meyer said the fuel load was high in the Ackerson Fire area because a lot of heavy material was left on the ground. In the midst of the chaparral regrowth, this served to cause significant intensity and this was after only twenty years since the fire. He said dead trees that are left causes heavy fuel loading and it was observed they caused a higher range of ember flight.

In recovery, Mr. Meyer said the park avoids active intervention with ecological processes. Natural processes prevail according to the YNP General Management Plan. Almost all the burn was in designated wilderness areas, so that limits efforts, as well. They have done hazard tree removal along road corridors and some stabilization where erosion could threaten structure or important archeological resources.

Mr. Meyer noted that significant research projects are coming out of this fire. Fifty-five projects ideas were submitted and seventeen have been funded. He said research within the park has been going on for a long time, but now many more researchers are coming forward. Some of the topics include: aquatic ecosystems, carbon, cultural resources, data compilation, erosion, fire regimes, fire severity, fuel treatment effects, etc. Not all of the research will be conducted within the park. There is also a group of FS proposals that have been submitted.

Looking forward, Mr. Meyer said they are trying to predict where the next big fire will occur. They have focused on two areas and are concerned that fires will get larger and more severe. They realize fires outside the park are more likely to impact the park as the Rim Fire started twenty miles away from the park. There are also concerns about smoke impacts including impacts from prescribed burns. They believe the next big fire will also be a cross-boundary fire.

It was observed that it would be useful to have Mr. Meyer present again when more time is allotted.

A member noted fuel treatments do work and the magnitude of need is huge. The chair said YSS is needed to help drive/push these activities. It was requested Mr. Meyer send the presentation to Ms. Lott for distribution.

Mr. Meyer concluded by noting all the information presented is preliminary as there will be delayed mortality that will need to be woven into the numbers. This mortality can develop for up to five years and the drought will exacerbate it.

Discussion Regarding Possible Projects and Funding:

Reynolds Creek-Two Mile Meadow Restoration Projects – VC Buckley asked Ms. Denton to report and she said the FS has \$216,000 for watershed funding and they have \$80,000 currently under contract. The FS is still working on additional contracts, design, etc. for the remainder of the funds. Mr. Tangenberg said there is a pending application for a federal pot of money. There are also Sierra Nevada Conservancy (SNC) funds. He said the current situation is that the FS is looking at where the remaining \$135,000 of the \$216,000 needs to go for meadow restoration and how much can go to stream crossing. There is also a need to determine how much of the other funds can be committed to this work. He said it looks as if the FS should make a specific ask and then ask for regional and federal partners to fill in the remainder of funding needs for these projects. He noted it is because of YSS and SNC working in a collaborative setting that the FS is able to ask and receive this level of funding. Mr. Tangenberg said the FS is working on identifying personnel to develop contracts, advertise, and get bids in hand for the project work. Then they will know what the funding gaps are. Ms. Denton is looking beyond the region for assistance with this piece of the process. VC Buckley noted it is still unclear whether the FS needs to submit an application to SNC or whether it

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

needs to be a joint application with YSS. He underscored that YSS has already approved proceeding with the Reynolds Creek and Two Mile Meadow Restoration projects. Mr. Tangenberg committed to follow-up with VC Buckley to clarify who will submit the application to SNC and the specific amount to be requested.

Grazing Fencing – Ms. Denton noted \$525,000 has been acquired to replace fencing. Approximately \$200,000 will be under contract to purchase materials. The FS will utilize CCC and potentially Americorp to contract for work. Some areas can actually be fenced now. Other areas can be brushed, but fencing cannot be put up until the trees come down. Mr. Tangenberg will inquire as to whether there is non-range fencing that needs to be replaced.

Wildlife Conservation Board Status Report – Mr. Graveline said he is in the process of gathering data and will start putting together a concept paper the board has requested for their November meeting. The request has to have a wildlife theme with forest health as a component of the package. Things that may be included are biomass, water development and others. He has been working with a FS biologist and is trying to make sure work included in this proposal is in the next EIS cycle. He said the board has hinted at a funding amount of between one and two million dollars. Supervisor Skalski said she believes there is work in the current EIS that would fit within the WCB proposal. It was requested the reforestation lead, Mr. Marty Gmelin, coordinate with Mr. Graveline on NEPA processes and the next EIS. Supervisor Skalski noted there is some broader-range CE authorization that may be able to be tied to some of these projects. She identified Mr. Rob Griffith as the contact for Mr. Graveline for CE determination conversations. She added this proposal should be packaged with the SNC proposal to demonstrate leveraging of funds.

Further project Discussion – VC Buckley shared Mr. Mike Vroman has been assigned a special project so has had to pull back from YSS work for now. The remainder of the project subcommittee will continue working on projects.

In regards to the Spinning Wheel project, the Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians has been working with Ms. Kathy Strain of the FS and there is much information that has been gathered. Mr. Jim Maddox has volunteered to be the point person on this project. The project is directed at assisting with erosion caused by PG&E work. It is being explored as to whether PG&E will pay for the work.

VC Buckley said because of YSS and the committee reaching out to NFF, \$20,000 has been secured to fund the Plumas Corporation to provide meadows assessment. The corporation has done over eighty different meadow restoration projects. VC Buckley spent significant time and effort gaining this commitment. The associate director and Mr. Jim Wilcox will do the assessment hopefully for all the meadows within the Rim Fire area. Through detailed protocols they will identify projects that need funding. Some of these projects may be funded through NFF, SNC, and the WCB. The assessment will take place this summer and some basic design services will also be provided.

VC Buckley reported he has been working with Mr. Chris Dallas at SNC on the possibility of funding for a springs assessment. The FS staff has been extraordinary in responding to needed information. There are eighty-two springs identified currently, but the status of the springs is unknown. Originally, a team from DC was going to do an assessment, but they were too costly. Currently, there is a conversation with a team from Arizona who has completed over eight hundred assessments and the price is more affordable. The challenge is unless the FS is willing to say the assessment will lead to projects, meaning action will come from the

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

assessment, SNC will not be able to commit the \$40,000 in funds. SNC requires that implementation of projects results from funded work. It was noted the preference is to do the assessment this year while the springs are exposed. Mr. Graveline noted if the assessment can be done this year then projects from the assessment can also be added to the WCB proposal. Species within the spring areas will also be analyzed. The team desired to do this work is Springs Stewardship Institute. Mr. Tangenberg agreed to follow up with VC Buckley to determine whether the FS can commit to using the springs' assessment to do on-the-ground springs restoration work as a subsequent output.

Discussion on YSS Long-Term Activities:

FO John Amodio brought forward a proposal looking more long-term at funding needs. He said it is going to take funding over a sustained amount of time to get the work done in the Rim Fire area and the green forests of the YSS region. He continued saying the Tuolumne River Trust (TRT) believes YSS is the most promising vehicle. He said by being at the table, more common ground is being found. He said the TRT learned from the discussions sparked by the litigation letter and the information shared regarding the ES. Seeing the range of entities that signed the letter gives a sense of what is possible. He noted besides turning heads in DC, it also provides an opportunity to open wallets as there are foundations interested in funding problem solvers.

TRT's proposal would establish a working group with no independent authority from YSS that begins to investigate the investments needed to be made over time. They believe this is the time to start building the YSS portfolio. He proposed Chair Albrecht and VC Buckley be authorized to appoint a working group that can take on the task and that the chair and vice-chair consider diverse representation. He noted today was a good example of how bringing in experts helps build common ground. The main responsibilities of the workgroup will be:

- Identifying the range of work needed to restore the health of the Rim Fire area and associated ecosystem
- Identifying areas of differences or conflict and assisting in identifying experts or authorities who could provide relevant information helpful to finding common ground

When asked how this differs from what is being done currently, he said the project workgroup is looking at shorter timelines and immediate funding opportunities. This workgroup would work with DC and foundations for additional funding. He said the aspiration is to find as much common ground as possible regarding reforestation efforts and other efforts that will assist in establishing a healthy forest.

Agreement: Members agreed to form the workgroup under the leadership of FO Amodio.

Mr. Chris Trott and Mr. Steve Brink volunteered to participate in the workgroup. FO Amodio asked the chair and vice-chair to appoint additional members for balance. It was requested the workgroup bring the description of what they plan to achieve and how they are different to the steering committee meeting. FO Amodio said he envisions the bulk of this being done electronically.

YSS Field Trip Discussion:

It was suggested one area of interest might be Peach Growers. Mr. Brink will share some ideas with the chair and vice-Chair. It was noted Mr. Dallas would like to attend.

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

Other:

It was noted Chair Albrecht will be the point person for the June 16th meeting with Mr. Greczmiel. Mr. Trott and FO Amodio expressed a desire to be included.

Next YSS Steering Committee Meeting:

Friday, June 13, 1:00pm-4:00pm, USFS Supervisor's Headquarters

Next YSS General Meeting:

Friday, August 15, 9:30pm-12:30pm, USFS Supervisor's Headquarters

MEETING ATTENDANCE

Members

Name	Organization	Attendance
Jerry Jensen	American Forest Resource Council	Present
Jerry Fouts	American Motorcyclist Association, District 36	Present
Dave Pickett	AMA36/Alternate	
Todd Miller	Blue Mountain Minerals	
Jeff Redoutey	BMM/Alternate	
John Romena	Buena Vista Biomass Power	Present
Steve Brink	CA Forestry Association	Present
Walt Kruse	Central Sierra Audubon Society	Present
Linda Millsbaugh	CSAS/Alternate	
John Buckley	Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center	Present
Lindsey Meyers	CSERC/Alternate	
Jennifer Sorensen	Chicken Ranch Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians	Present
Stephanie Suess	CRRMWI/Alternate	
Chris Trott	CT Bioenergy Consulting	Present
Jody Trott	CTBC/Alternate	
Jim Dambacher	Dambacher Construction/Landowner	Present
Karl Dambacher	DC Alternate	Present
Michael Damaso	Merced Dirt Riders/ Stanislaus Trail Bike Association	Present
Paul Maben	Pacific Gas & Electric	
Kamran Rasheed	PG&E Alternate	
Stuart Crook	Permittee/Landowner	
Bob Brennan	PL/Alternate	
Mike Horvath	SFPUC/Natural Resources	
Michael Vroman	San Francisco PUC-Hetch Hetchy	Present
Peter Dean	PUC/HH Alternate	
Jon Sturtevant	Sierra Club – Tuolumne Group	Present
Brandon Sanders	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	
Mandy Vance	SNC Alternate	Present
Matt Waverly	Sierra Pacific Industries	Present
Brain Wayland	SPI Alternate	
Allen Johnson	SouthWest InterFace Team	Present
Kelly Bearden	SWIFT Alternate	
Sherri Brennan	Tuolumne County	Present
John Gray	TC/Alternate	

Summary: Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions General Meeting

Mike Albrecht	Tuolumne County Alliance for Resources & Environment	Present
Vicki Albrecht	TuCARE/Alternate	
Shaun Crook	Tuolumne County Farm Bureau	Present
Sasha Farkas	TCFB/Alternate	
Lindsay Mattos	Tuolumne County Resource Conservation District	
Missy Marino	TCRCD/Alternate	
Jim Maddox	Tuolumne County Sportsmen/Yosemite Deer Herd Advisory Council	Present
John Amodio	Tuolumne River Trust	Present
Patrick Koepele	TRT/Alternate	
Jim Phelan	Tuolumne-Mariposa Resources Advisory Council	Present

LIAISON MEMBERS

Bill Haigh	Bureau of Land Management	Present
Nathan Graveline	CA Dept. of Fish & Wildlife	Present
Joe Meyer	NPS, Yosemite National Park	Present
Linda Mazzu	NPSYNP/Alternate	
Susan Skalski	USFS Stanislaus NF	Present
Scott Tangenberg	USFS Alternate	Present

COLLABORATIVE STAFF

Carolyn Lott	Carlson Consulting	Present
--------------	--------------------	---------

OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

Name	Organization
Pam Baltimore	USFS
Ann Denton	USFS
Clare Long	USFS
Carol Ewell	USFS
Marty Gmelin	USFS
Shelly Crook	USFS
Jeanne Dennison	Mariposa BOS-District 2