

# Yosemite Stanislaus Solutions Collaborative General Membership Meeting Summary September 18, 2020 Video Conference

### **FOLLOW-UP ITEMS:**

- 1. Invite media to visit some project sites for purpose of authoring article on SNC & WCB projects and visiting first MSA project.
- 2. Schedule a YSS field trip to see Cedar Ridge prior to beginning of implementation.
- **3.** Organize a field trip to see the SNC and WCB projects and a field trip to revisit various planting method areas within the Rim.

### **MEETING GOALS:**

- Hear Presentation by Malcolm North
- Consider New YSS Members
- Receive Grant and Forest Updates

### **SUMMARY:**

## Meeting Opening, Welcome, Pledge and Introductions:

Chair Patrick Koepele opened the Zoom video meeting and asked for self-introductions.

## **Consideration of Membership:**

Associated Loggers and Highway 108 Fire Safe Council were welcomed as new member organizations. Mr. Matt Waverly was welcomed back representing PG&E.

<u>Presentation/Climate Change and Forest Restoration – Creating a Resilient Landscape:</u>
Below are highlights from the presentation. Please find the full presentation at:
<a href="https://yosemitestanislaussolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Malcolm-North-YSS-talk-Sept-18-2020-reduced.pdf">https://yosemitestanislaussolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Malcolm-North-YSS-talk-Sept-18-2020-reduced.pdf</a>

Seventeen of the twenty largest CA wildfires occurred in the last twenty years. In high intensity fires, up to 35% of the landscape experiences high severity burn versus 3-8% historically in fires. The King Fire was 55% high severity burn. Without extensive reforestation efforts, much of the high severity burn landscape will revert to shrubs for at least several decades.

One effect of climate change is drought. 2012-2016 was the most severe drought in the last 1000 years in CA. The Sierra Nevada forests lost approximately 150,000,000 trees.

The question is, given these conditions, how do you create resilient landscapes in the Sierra Nevada? Constraints are Spotted Owls, limitations on mechanical treatment and on fire use. There is a need to change pace and scale and consider instituting pyrosilviculture proposals.

Landscape resilience is reverse engineering by accentuating differences in forest conditions with topography (bottom up) to influence disturbance and developmental processes. Top down are the climatic factors. Bottom up is geology, soils, and topography. There is a need to bring the forest into alignment with the factors it can support.

To reverse engineer forest conditions, forests should be aligned with key drivers – productivity (soil moisture availability) and fire regime. Overstory conditions such as tall trees, canopy cover,

and size and number of large snags is driven by soil moisture availability. Understory conditions such as small (ladder) tree density, shrubs, and logs is determined by fire frequency and intensity.

Species composition and structure/density of forests is aligned with water availability. If you want to create resilience, you must create a gap structure. The hypothesis is: In forests that historically had frequent-fire regimes, after treatments, "Is competition still driving vegetation composition & structure or disturbance?" Why: Ecologists have noted in grazed and frequent fire communities around the world, a "healthy" system is most resilient when it's well below its carrying capacity and largely lacks competition. This idea of keeping frequent-fire forests well below carrying capacity has already been shown to secure stable carbon stocks and recently has been suggested for drought resilience. Tree spatial patterns should be clumpy/gappy vs. regular spacing. This helps reduce fire intensity and provides greater drought tolerance and better habitat – getting back to historical composition. The idea is 3-8 trees together and gaps around ¼ acre on average.

Constraints: The Spotted Owl Strategy suggests a need for a high level of canopy cover. Using LiDAR analysis of Spotted Owl habitat with over 1M acres of analysis it has been found where the Spotted Owl population is growing is where there is a lower amount of canopy cover. What was driving the owl habitat was not total canopy cover, but the cover in tall, greater than 157', trees. Owls avoid areas with understory cover suggesting reducing ladder fuels and stem density should not adversely impact owls. They also avoid patches of severely burned areas. There is often a consideration that owl PACs are sacred. However, to provide for owls into the future, we need to hold onto current habitat, but transition owls to sites that are best able to support tall trees. If owls leave a PAC and do not return for several years, the PAC should be pulled off the table.

Mechanical constraints: Can we thin our way to resilience? SNF level of constraint is measured at High 49.7 (85-100%), 30.2 Moderate (65-84%) and Light less than 65%. So, thinning alone will not work.

Fire suppression and human settlement have produced roadblocks. Current treatment is 7.6% of the historical rate. The annual deficit is 450,000 acres/year. At the current pace, due to maintenance, 2/3s of forests will never be treated.

Some deductions: the deficit is so large, there is no point in arguing over thinning vs. burning. Silviculture and fire need to come out of their silos and explicitly work together to increase pace and scale through pyrosilviculture. There is a need to scale up and concentrate efforts. We should use the extensive, but blunt, effects of fire to link treatments, thin stand density and for phenotypic selection (i.e., individuals with thicker bark and earlier branch abscission.) Use precision, but limited scale of thinning to affect fuel abundance and continuity. Increase thinning by using it to not only aid suppression, but strategically for 1) anchors, 2) ecosystem assets, and 3) revenue.

- a. A network of anchors across a landscape would act as control points for connecting and moderating managed fire treatments. In eastern Australia, with about 20% of landscape in strategic anchors, they reached a tipping point for widespread fire use.
- b. Ecosystem Assets-Areas where fuel and density reduction are needed but important ecosystem services mean more precise control over fire severity is needed.
- c. Revenue-forest treatments need a supporting revenue stream. In wet, productive locations infilling has produced large fire-intolerant trees whose removal can help restore

stand density, increase water availability and their revenue can support local fire restoration.

Zoning Landscapes for different fire objectives can help. When a certain zone catches fire naturally, we need to let the fire burn in specific zones. Wildfire is by far the largest forest treatment. Post-fire we should move the focus beyond just salvaging and planting high severity burn areas and leverage restoration work of low/moderate severity areas, with thinning to harden fire resilience and Rx burning.

## USFS Fire, SERAL, & SERAL Tools Updates:

The Region is working on revising some of the forest closure orders. On the Stanislaus, closures will be a little less restrictive. It is likely campfires and portable stoves will not be allowed.

Staff is going through SERAL Scoping Document comments, looking for significant issues, and developing alternatives. Herbicides, thinning intensities and adoption of Spotted Owl Strategy and Forest Plan modification are main issues. There is a parallel scenario-planning effort going on. It is the process by which YSS can help refine and prioritize treatment areas.

Progress has been made on development of the model. Mr. Peter Stine thinks a test run will happen in the next few weeks. They will look to YSS for discussion about values and value weights. They should be ready to discuss proposed alternatives in a couple of months. Significant additional funding is coming to the area to work in this landscape. They are working on modifying the MSA to put dollars towards implementation. 2,300 acres in Cedar Ridge will be one of the projects. The extra funding coming to the area is largely because of the success of the YSS collaborative work. YSS demonstrated support for the NEPA process and worked with the FS and to get grants. All of this has demonstrated YSS is serious about increasing pace and scale of treatment.

Ms. Liz Peterson said, in July, the DC office gave money to the forest and \$1.2M to the county to do surveys and flagging. The county is putting together an RFQ for a botanist firm to be on retainer for botany surveys. The county will be working on the surveys at the same time as the NEPA is developed. Cedar Ridge will be implemented through the MSA.

## **Miscellaneous Comments:**

Senator Feinstein, and another senator, are working on a forest related bill. YSS has a practice on not weighing in on legislation. However, if individual groups wants to weigh in, YSS can send out the information.

Mr. Michael Pickard: SNC has a round of grants in forest health for a total of \$5M for the entire Sierra Nevada region. Looking at offering \$850,000 for the subregion. It is a rolling grant program, so they are looking for a proposal.

Mr. Randy Hanvelt: CalFIRE said they have no money in the budget for funding for forestry. There will be a TuCARE Summit on October 30<sup>th</sup>. It will be online live.

Mr. Elliott VanderKolk: SNC is looking at some viable biomass project applications. Funding came from HUD and two of the pillars are managed by SNC and are forest health mostly within the Rim Fire footprint and the biomass utilization fund pillar.

Mr. Dwight Follien: Groveland Trail Heads received some initial funding from Sonora Area Foundation for a new bike trail project. About 1.3 miles is open now. There are about six miles near the trail for multi-use to include mountain bike trails.

Section   Comparison   Compar	Project Name	Status	Acres 🔻	Treatment Type	Funding Source	Total Cost 🔻	Agreement Type
24 Accession flowers and common goals. Choice completed in an advantage to common goals. Choice completed in an advantage to common goals. Choice completed in an advantage to common goals. Choice completed in control many and set well administ or moderate in control many and set well administ or moderate in control many and set well administ or moderate in control many and set well administ or moderate in control many and set well administed in transmit or control many and set well administed in transmit or control many and set well administed in the complete in the control many and set well administed in the complete in the control many and set well administed in the complete in the control many and set well administed in the complete in the control many and set well administed in the complete in the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set well and set of the control many and set of the co	FUNDED FOREST HEALTH PROJECTS						
Grantic Reforestation   Interest page   Interest page   21   22   22   23   24   24   24   24   24	TONDED TONEST FIERE ITT NOSECTS	167 acres masticated, with contractors					
Grante Thin Phase 1 (Layout & Commission of the control of the control of the commission competed to Commission of the C							
Timble Crusted   Timble Act   Clay   Clay	Granite Reforestation		402		CalFire	\$1 132 905	MSA
Grante Thin Phase 1 (Layout & a value of the adverted the year)   Layout & a value of the adverted the year of the property	Granite Reforestation		402	Tier bicide Spraying	Carrie	Ş1,132,303	IVIOA
Will need to 6 s 3 A Modification after finance 1 is complete. Estimated and select the selection of the selecti	Granite Thin Phase 1 (Layout &			Project Layout, Cruise,			
Committee Thin Phase 2   2002. Estimated and to 16 strate days of the 17 strate of 17 strate o	Cruise)		2,284	Roads, Package	CalFire	\$119,074	MSA
Commercial & Name							
Commercial Mechanics   Commercial Mechanics   Califire   Suit Date   Suit Da				Commercial & Non-			
Tubulume County is in the process of contracting work to CCUC, using their field cross and gain funded Forest y Copy. Treat work to be controlled forest y Copy. Treat work to be controlled. The copy of	Granite Thin Phase 2	1 1					
### Reduction Machine 8   Reduction Machine	(Implementation)		2,284		CalFire	\$2,046,478	MSA
Strawberry/Phase 2 Rs Fire Prep							
Strowberry/Phase 2 Rs Fire Freq							
Strawberry/Phase 2 Rs Fire	Strawberry/Phase 2 Rx Fire Prep		1,000		CalFire	\$500,000	MSA
Participating agreement rather than a SPA-due to Referentiation (CD, 71.14)   Referentiation (CD, 71.		The state of the s					
Image   Security   S	Strawberry/Phase 2 Rx Fire		3,788		STF		Administered
Mr. Lewis Plantation Thinning PROPOSALS/PROJECTS Planning Proposal submitted to WCB for restoration and NEPA planning for four meadows in the STF. Included!  CEL 2020-2021  CEL 2020-2021  Find work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GOO nest streement in the STF. Included!  Field work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GOO nest streement in the STF. Included!  Field work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GOO nest streement in Early Could responsible to the WARTSHED RESTORATION PROJECTS  WCB Rim Restoration  STF. MSA  Mastication STF. MSA  MCB Ms Mastication Step Program  MCC 2000-4 (2003 acre), Street & Trough Institutes in Street & Trough Institutes & T							
PRINDING FOREST HALT M PROPOSALS/PROJECTS  WCB Meadows Planning  In the STF. Funded in th	Rim Fire Reforestation Phase 3		2,000	Approach)	WCB	\$4,700,000	MSA
### Planning Proposal submitted to WCB for restoration and NEPA planning for four meadows in the STF. Funded in the STF. Punded	Mt. Lewis Plantation Thinning	RFP awarded; flagging in progress.	123	Mastication	STF		MSA
Planning Proposal submitted to WCB for retoration and NEPA planning for four meadows in the STF. Runded budget reasons, 2020-2021 CCI Forest Health funding is indefinitely delayed.  CCI 2020-2021  AVERDAD WILDLIFE MABITAT & WATERSHOP MISTORATION PROPERTS  Field work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest structures to be installed.  SITE TRIT.  Collection  WCB Rim Restoration  Began 10/02/2019. Flagging completed, veg monitoring to occur soon. Project Ends  07/13/2021.  SITE TRIT.  SITE TRIT.  SITE TRIT.  SITE TRIT.  Collection  WCB \$3,510,000 Agreement.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  SITE TRIT.  Collection  Fire 2,000 ac (400 ac km.)  Fire 2,000 ac (400 a	DENDING FOREST USALTH						
Planning Proposal submitted to WCB for meadows in the STF-Funded ceretaration and MFA planning for for meadows in the STF-Funded ceretaration and MFA planning for for meadows in the STF-Funded ceretary of the proposal submitted to WCB for meadows in the STF-Funded ceretary of the proposal submitted to WCB for meadows in the STF-Funded ceretary of the proposal submitted to WCB for meadows in the STF-Funded ceretary of the WATERSHED MSTORATION PROJECTS  FUNDED WILDLIFE MARITAT & WATERSHED MSTORATION PROJECTS  Field work to finish this quarter, with one puzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest structures some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest structures to be installed, 2 clusters and the proposal structures to be installed. Structures to be installed, 2 clusters and the proposal structures to be installed. Structures							
Testoration and NEPA planning for four meadows	, NO POSALS/ PROJECTS	Planning Proposal submitted to WCB for					
CCI 2020-2021  CCI 20		restoration and NEPA planning for four meadows					
CC   2020-2021	WCB Meadows Planning	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1					
Field work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GGO next straint Restoration (3) acree), Deer Habitat Restoration (30) acree), Deer Habitat Restoration (3) acree), Deep Habitation (3) acree, Deep Ha							
### Arrest Place   Project   Project	CCI 2020-2021						
### Arrest Place   Project   Project		, ,					
Acres   Aspen Stand   Restoration   Restor							
Restoration (33 acres),   Develop a plan and/or priorities for restoration to priorities for restoration to provide from the first bid squarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest frought installation (11), in SGO Nest Structures installated, 2 culverts installated, 2 culverts are strongly as the provided of the provided	WATERSHED RESTORATION PROJECTS						
Deer Habitat Restoration   Good acres), Guzzler & Trough Installation (1,1), 18 (360) Next Structures   STF-TRT Collection   STF-TRT							
Field work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest structures to be installed.   Sepan 10/02/2019. Flagging completed; veg monitoring to occur soon. Project Ends							
Field work to finish this quarter, with one guzzler, some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest success on the state of							
Some meadow restoration, and four GGO nest   208   Installed, 2 Culverts   208   Registed   200   20							
WCB   33,510,000   Agreement Project   Agree							1
DFW Rim Fire Watershed Health   mprovement Project   monitoring to occur soon. Project Ends   0.3/13/2023.   3,700   ac   0.5/14/2023.   0.3/13/2023.   0.3/14/2023.   0.	WCP Bim Postoration		200		WCB	¢2 E10 000	
DFW Rim Fire Watershed Health Improvement Project   San 10/02/2019, Flagging completed; veg monitoring to occur soon. Project Ends   3,700   ac   MSTC 2019   S3,419,850   Agreement   S4,419,850	WCB KIII KESTOLATOII	structures to be mistarieu.	200		VVCB	33,310,000	Agreement
DFW Rim Fire Watershed Health Improvement Project   03/13/2023.   3,700   ac   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0							
Improvement Project   03/13/2023.   3,700   ac   DFW   \$3,419,850   Agreement	DEW Bim Fire Watershed Health						
Develop a plan and/or priorities for restoration treatments in the watershed. Secure Funding. Implement Projects.   Secure Funding.   SNC Conservation   Secure Funding.   SNC Secure Fun			3 700		DEW	\$3.419.850	
Develop a plan and/or priorities for restoration treatments in the watershed. Secure Funding. Dept of O7/31/2021.   N/A   Implement Projects.   Conservation O7/31/2021.   Pilot Project using drone technology for assessment and planning.   SNC   S16,283   N/A	improvement i roject	03/13/2023.	3,700	de	DI W	Ç3,413,030	Agreement
DOC Watershed Coordinator   Forest Health Grant   Priorities for restoration treatments in the watershed. Secure Funding.   Dept of   S235,000   N/A	FUNDED CAPACITY GRANTS						
DOC Watershed Coordinator   Forest Health Grant   Priorities for restoration treatments in the watershed. Secure Funding.   Dept of   S235,000   N/A							
DOC Watershed Coordinator   Forest Health Grant   Forest Health				1 ' '			
Forest Health Grant				l'			
SNC Capacity Grant  2022.	DOC Watershed Coordinator	Grant Began 08/01/2019. Grant Ends			Dept of		
SNC Capacity Grant   2022.   State   SNC	Forest Health Grant	07/31/2021.	N/A		Conservation	\$235,000	N/A
SNC   S16,283   N/A   and planning:   SNC   S16,283   N/A		Crant Bases 1/C/2020 Crant Fada March 20		, ,			
FUNDING SUMMARY	SNC Canacity Grant		N/A	0,	SNC	\$16.283	N/A
Secured   Secu	one capacity crain					7-0,-00	
Secured   Secu							
Secured   Secu	ELINDING CLIMPAA DV						
CalFire (2018) \$ 5,000,000							
SNC (2016)   \$   1,000,000   2013-14   \$   15,888		\$ 5,000,000			NFF Grants		
WCB Rim Reforestation (2020)         \$ 4,700,000         2015-16         \$ 46,295           DFW Habitat (2019)         \$ 3,500,000         2015-16         \$ 23,500           NFF         \$ 223,838         2016-17         \$ 29,925           DCC Watershed Coordinator (2019)         \$ 235,000         2017-18         \$ 30,000           SNC Capacity         \$ 16,000         2018-19         \$ 25,000           Total         \$ 18,174,838         2019-20         \$25,000           Pending         Total         \$ 223,838           NLC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund         \$ 25,000         Capacity/High Level Planning         \$ 223,838           Wildlific Conservation Society         up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ \$ 10,000         Implementation           Total         \$ 25,000         9,891         \$ \$ 10,000         \$ 10,00	SNC (2016)	\$ 1,000,000			2013-14		
DFW Habitat (2019)         \$ 3,500,000         2015-16         \$ 23,500           NFF         \$ 223,838         2016-17         \$ 29,925           DOC Watershed Coordinator (2019)         \$ 235,000         2017-18         \$ 30,000           SNC Capacity         \$ 16,000         2018-19         \$ 25,000           Total         \$ 18,174,838         2019-20         \$25,000           Pending         Total         \$223,838         70           NLC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund         \$ 25,000         Planning         \$ 223,838           Wildlife Conservation Society         up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ 10           Total         \$ 25,000         Implementation         \$ 10           OUTCOMES SUMMARY         \$ 25,000         9,891         \$ 10           Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)         4,134         \$ 10	, ,						
NFF         \$         223,838         2016-17         \$ 29,925           DOC Watershed Coordinator (2019)         \$         235,000         2017-18         \$ 30,000           SNC Capacity         \$         16,000         2018-19         \$ 25,000           Total         \$         18,174,838         2019-20         \$ 25,000           Pending         NC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund         Capacity/High Level Planning         \$ 223,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ 18,174,838           Wild life Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         \$ 18,174,838							
DOC Watershed Coordinator (2019)         \$ 235,000         2017-18         \$ 30,000           SNC Capacity         \$ 16,000         2018-19         \$ 25,000           Total         \$ 18,174,838         2019-20         \$ 25,000           Pending         Total         \$ 223,838           NLC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund         \$ 25,000         Capacity/High Level Planning         Planning           Wildlife Conservation Society         Up to \$200,000         Implementation         Implementation           Total         \$ 25,000         Poundows         Implementation         Implementation           OUTCOMES SUMMARY         Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)         9,891         Implementation         Implementation           Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)         4,134         Implementation         Implementation	, ,						
Total \$ 18,174,838   2019-20 \$25,000    Pending					2017-18		
Pending NLC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund S 25,000 Wildlife Conservation Society Up to \$200,000 Total S 25,000 Wildlife Conservation Society Up to \$200,000 Total S 25,000 S 30							
Pending  NLC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund S 25,000 Planning Wildlife Conservation Society Up to \$200,000 Total S 25,000  OUTCOMES SUMMARY Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 4,134	Total	\$ 18,174,838					
NLC Landscape Conservation Catalyst Fund \$ 25,000 Planning Wildlife Conservation Society up to \$200,000 Implementation  OUTCOMES SUMMARY Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)  Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)  4,134	Pending				IUldi	\$223,838	
Wildlife Conservation Society up to \$200,000 Implementation  Total \$ 25,000   OUTCOMES SUMMARY  Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 9,891  Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 4,134				Capacity/High Level			
Total \$ 25,000	Fund			Planning			
OUTCOMES SUMMARY  Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)  Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured)  4,134		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Implementation			
Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 9,891  Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 4,134	IULAI	25,000					
Acres Treated Under the MSA (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 9,891  Acres Treated Under other Agreements (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 4,134	OUTCOMES SUMMARY						
	Acres Treated Under the MSA (Complet						
Total Acres (Completed or Planned with Funding Secured) 14,025							
	iotal Acres (Completed or Planned wit	n runding Secured)	14,025				

## **Next YSS General Meeting:**

The next regularly scheduled YSS General Membership meeting will be Friday, November 20th.

## **MEETING ATTENDANCE**

## Members

Name	Organization	Attendance
Jerry Jensen	American Forest Resource Council	Present
Jerry Fouts	American Motorcyclist Association, District 36	
Dave Pickett	AMA36/Alternate	
Randy Hanvelt	Associated California Loggers	Present
Jeff Redoutey	Blue Mountain Minerals	
Mark Abraham	CA Dept. of Fish & Wildlife	
Nathan Graveline	CDFW/Alternate	
Steve Brink	CA Forestry Association	Present
Walt Kruse	Central Sierra Audubon Society	
Linda Millspaugh	CSAS/Alternate	
John Buckley	Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center	Present
Catilyn Rich	CSERC/Alternate	Present
Bailey Hunter	Chicken Ranch Rancheria of MeWuk Indians	
Chris Trott	CT Bioenergy Consulting	Present
Jody Trott	CTBC/Alternate	
James Maddox	Fish and Game Preservation Committee	Present
Scott Gelfand	Friends of Berkeley Tuolumne Camp	Present
Phil Coffin	FBTC/Alternate	
Dwight Follien	Groveland Trail Heads	Present
Dusty Vaughn	GTH/Alternate	
Ethan Billigmeier	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power	
Michael Esposito	HHWP/Alternate	
Glenn Gottschall	Highway 108 Fire Safe Council	Present
Karen Caldwell	H108FSC/Alternate	
Jim Dambacher	Dambacher Construction/Landowner	
Karl Dambacher	DC/Alternate	
Jim Phelan	Mule Deer Foundation	Present
Dave Evans	Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Matthew Waverly	Pacific Gas & Electric	Present
Rick Carter	Pacific Ultra Power Chinese Station	
Dennis Serpa	PUPCS/Alternate	
Stuart Crook	Permitee/Landowner	
Bob Brennan	PL/Alternate	
Pauline Turski	Pine Mountain Lake Assoc. Board of Directors	
Rees Warne	Sierra Outdoor School – Clovis Unified School District	Present
Brandy Lively	SOS – CUSD/Alternate	
Brian Wayland	Sierra Pacific Industries	
Jeb Brooks	SPI Alternate	

Dore Bietz	Tuolumne Band Me-Wuk Tribal Council	Present
Diana Beasley	TBM-WTC/Alternate	
Sherri Brennan	Tuolumne County	
John Gray	TC/Alternate	
Mike Albrecht	Tuolumne County Alliance for Resources & Environment	Present
Vicki Albrecht	TuCARE/Alternate	
Shaun Crook	Tuolumne County Farm Bureau	
Sasha Farkas	TCFB/Alternate	
Lindsay Mattos	Tuolumne County Resource Conservation District	
Jeff Kearns	Tuolumne Utilities District	Present
Ron Kopf	TUD/Alternate	
Keith Martin	Sierra Club – Tuolumne Group	Present
Kevin Rice	SCTG/Alternate	Present
Patrick Koepele	Tuolumne River Trust	Present
John Amodio	TRT/Alternate	Present
Byron Krempl	TRT/Alternate	Present
Jeff Kerns	Tuolumne Utility District	Present
Ron Kopf	TUD/Alternate	
Jim Maddox	Yosemite Deer Herd Advisory Council	Present

## **LIASON MEMBERS**

Bill Haigh	Bureau of Land Management	
	CA Dept. of Fish & Wildlife	
Joe Meyer	NPS, Yosemite National Park	
Rob Grasso	NPSYNP/Alternate	
Michael Pickard	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Present
	SNC/Alternate	
Allen Johnson	South West Inter Face Team	Present
Jason Kuiken	USFS Stanislaus NF	
Beth Martinez	USFS/Alternate	
Michael Jow	USFS/Alternate	Present
Sarah LaPlante	USFS/Alternate	
Maria Benech	USFS/Alternate	

## **PARTICIPANTS**

Keith Martin	Tuolumne Group Sierra Club	Present
Kevin Rice	TGSC	

## **COLLABORATIVE STAFF**

Carolyn Lott	Carlon Consulting	Present
--------------	-------------------	---------

## OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

Name	Organization
Malcolm North	USFS

Lisa Westbrook	TUD
Ed Pattison	TUD
Robert Heim	TRT
James Hart	TRT
Liz Peterson	Tuolumne County
Elliott Vanderkolk	SNC
Sam Smith	Sierra Institute